1	M. Robert Dauber, No. 009067
2	Clinical Professor
	Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law
3	Arizona State University
	1100 S. McAllister Dr.
4	Tempe, AZ 85257
5	(480)965-7359
	bob.dauber@asu.edu
6	
7	
<i>'</i>	IN THE SU
8	STATE
	SIAIE
9	

IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of:

PETITION TO AMEND RULE 38(d), RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT Supreme Court No. R-15-0022

COMMENT OF THE CLINICAL PROGRAM OF THE SANDRA DAY O'CONNOR COLLEGE OF LAW

The Clinical Program at the Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, by and through its member, Professor M. Robert Dauber, supports Professor Paul Bennett's Petition to amend Rule 38(d), Rules of the Supreme Court, to allow law students to obtain limited practice certification after completing two, rather than the current three, semesters or equivalent hours at an accredited law school. As articulated in Professor Bennett's Petition, clinical instruction and training is one of the most effective ways to impart the skills and values of the legal profession to law students. Expanding opportunities for law students to enroll in clinics, which the proposed amendment allows, will benefit the public and the profession.

The State Bar of Arizona has filed a comment expressing concern about whether law students would have completed all the requisite legal courses in civil procedure, criminal law, evidence and professional responsibility if the rule were amended to allow certification after students' completion of only their first year of study. The student practice rule lists the course prerequisites and co-requisites of certification, and the proposed amendment would not alter those requirements. Under Rule 38(d)(5)(A)(iv), Rules of the Supreme Court, the dean of the accredited school must certify a student as having "either successfully completed or being currently enrolled in and attending, academic courses in civil procedure, criminal law, evidence, and professional responsibility." Students who have taken, or are taking, the required courses should be allowed to participate in a clinic, regardless of whether they are in their third of fourth semester of law school.

The student practice rule protects the public, and ensures competent representation, by limiting the permitted activities of certified students, requiring close supervision by authorized attorneys, and mandating the physical presence of the supervising attorneys at most court hearings. Rule 38(d)(5)(c). Professor Bennett's proposed amendment does not affect these supervision requirements.

The economics of the legal profession have changed. Firms and the practicing bar have less capacity for training new lawyers in the skills and values of the profession. Law schools must meet the increased demand for this training.

1	Amending Rule 38 to allow students to seek limited practice certification earlier in
2	their second year will help Arizona's law schools meet this goal.
3	
4	
5	
6	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015
7	
8	/s/
9	Professor M. Robert Dauber,
10	on behalf of the Clinical Program, Sandra Day O'Connor College of
11	Law
12	Electronic copy filed with the Clerk of the Arizona Supreme Court this 19th day of May, 2015.
13	
14	
15	by: M. Robert Dauber
16	
17	
18	
19   20	
20   21	
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	
23   24	
25	